Spectroscopic follow-ups of Near-IR eruptive objects discovered from the VVV survey

Zhen Guo (郭 震)

Centre for Astrophysics Research, University of Hertfordshire

in collaboration with Philip Lucas, Carlos Contreras Peña, Leigh Smith, Calum Morris, Javier Alonso Garcia, Dante Minniti, Jura Borissaova, Radostin Kurtev, Nicolas Medina, Alessio Caratti o Graratti, Dirk Froebrich, and the VVV team

> University of UH Hertfordshire





Introduction

Most YSOs are variable stars

Episodic accretion:

- dominated the variability of protostars
- mass accretion history (the luminosity problem)
- mechanisms (theoretical talks on Tues.)
- duration & frequency
- effect on disc/planetesimals



Log Mass Accretion Rate (solar masses/yr)

 10^{-4}

10-5

10-6

10-7

10-8

Protosta

Infalling

Envelope

 10^{5}

T Tauri star

 10^{6}

FU Ori outburst

EXor outburst?

T Tauri accretion

Disk accretion

Introduction

Two prototypes of episodic accretion event

EXors:

```
Duration ~10^2 days, repeatable
```

Spectral features: Magnetospheric accretion



FUors:

Duration ~10^4 days, 1 per 10^4 years





Photometric observation

Visible and Infrared Survey Telescope for Astronomy VVV/VVVX: Vista Variables in the Vía Láctea Target: the Galactic bulge and part of the inner disc Decade-long Ks-band LCs + multi band photometry 184+ papers now!





Contreras Peña et al., 2017a

816 variables selected from VVV (2010 - 2012)

 $\Delta Ks > 1 \text{ mag}, \sim 50\%$ were YSOs

Large fraction of eruptive YSOs are protostars

P. Lucas: selected 105 high amplitude variables Including: 27 eruptive YSOs ($\Delta Ks > 3$ mag)

- Confirm eruptive YSOs
- Statistical view of variation mechanism, accretion modes and observational behaviours

2013/2014: **37** targets - spectroscopic classification (Contreras Peña et al., 2017b) 2015: **14** YSOs (from 2013/2014 list) repeated in 2 nights (Guo et al., 2020)

2017: **23** targets (including long-term periodic variables, outbursts & dippers) 2019: **15** high amplitude objects (VLT, $\Delta Ks > 3$ mag) Guo et al., in prep

-> a gallery for eruptive YSOs

Magellan FIRE: R = 6000







Spectroscopic Follow ups



55 emission line objects (EXor-like) & 6 FUor-like YSOs





Reconstruction of magnetospheric acc.?

Multiple timescale variables (short & long timescale variability)

DR4_v30 v181 DR4 v30 v181 10 10 10 $\Delta K_s = 3.5 \text{ mag}$ $\Delta K_s = 3.4 \text{ mag}$ 10 11 11 11 11 Ks (mag) (бри) 12 (60 12 12 ×ຶ 12 13 13 13 13 14 14 1250 1260 1270 1280 1290 1300 1150 1200 1250 1300 2000 3000 1000 2000 3000 1000 4000 0 0 4000 MJD - 55200 MJD - 55200 Date (MJD-55200) Date (MJD-55200) DR4_v30 V181 halid Brγ Bry Na 1.5 40 со ward ware repearing the work when the all works the the Flux (1e-16 erg/s/cm²/A) Brackett series Relative Flux 0.1 30 [Fe II] 20 Malling and Malling and Martin Strew 0.5 10 0.0 1.8 2.4 1.6 2.0 2.2 1.2 1.4 1.6 2.0 2.2 2.4 1.8 Wavelength (μ m) Wavelength (μ m)

short timescale: inner disc structure?

long timescale: eruptive object?

Outburst duration vs. Ks-band amplitude

- FUors have long duration
- Emission line objects have variation on all timescales



Light curves are not telling the whole story

Slow rising > 2000 days ΔKs > 3 mag





What is the real boundary between two accretion scenarios?



Periodic objects



4 periodic and 12 quasi-periodic variables in our follow up studies 10

10-15% variable YSOs in the VVV



Decade-long NIR survey is suitable to find eruptive protostars

Spectroscopic follow ups of VVV eruptive

- -> FUors: deeper absorption when the star is brighter
- -> FUors are rare and only have long timescales
- -> Emission line objects are more common on all timescales
- -> What is the boundary between accretion modes?

Periodic accretion bursts

- -> not so rare (10% YSOs)
- -> theoretical models?